Country Profiles for
CDM and JI Project Development:
Europe and Central Asia
The Climate Change Conference in Bali highlighted the importance of technology transfer for supporting newly industrialising and developing countries in their sustainable development and its importance for an effective international climate protection regime. Without substantial investment flows and efficient technology transfer it will not be possible to achieve greenhouse gas emission reductions on the scale required. This is also stated in the 4th IPCC Assessment Report.

The Framework Convention on Climate Change and the Kyoto Protocol, with its flexible mechanisms, have laid down a fundamental basis: the international carbon market had already reached a volume of 30 billion US dollars in 2006 – and this growth is set to continue. The project-based mechanisms CDM and JI accounted for 5 billion US dollars of this volume.

This provides huge opportunities for German industry: in addition to the option to use cost-effective emissions allowances in the EU emissions trading scheme, German companies can also play a direct active role in climate protection projects abroad as technology suppliers or consultants.

The Federal Environment Ministry (BMU) will therefore continue to expand its existing cooperation with host countries for CDM and JI projects in order to facilitate access to investment opportunities abroad for German companies while at the same time building capacities in the host countries. Networks will help overcome barriers to investments, in particular in the fields of renewable energies and energy efficiency.

This was also the aim of the CTI-Investors Forum in Leipzig in October 2007, which is documented in this brochure. The CTI supports cross-border cooperation through the development and dissemination of climate-friendly technologies. With this annual event Germany has been making an important contribution since 1999 to the goals and international activities of the CTI.

German investors and project planners met with project owners from Eastern Europe and Central Asia to discuss concrete project ideas. The extremely positive feedback from participants shows that with this forum the Federal Environment Ministry has made an essential contribution to initiating new projects.

Sigmar Gabriel
Federal Minister for the Environment, Nature Conservation and Nuclear Safety
The ratification of the Kyoto Protocol and the introduction of the project-based flexible mechanisms has created a market for emission certificates from Clean Development and Joint Implementation projects. These two mechanisms allow companies that are covered by the European Emission Trading Scheme (EU ETS) to comply with their emissions reduction obligations in a cost-effective fashion. At the same time CDM and JI project development helps the efforts of developing and emerging nations to reduce emissions and supports the transfer of technologies to these countries.

For German companies, CDM and JI will become more important in the second commitment period from 2008 to 2012. The Allocation Act 2012 allows operators of the installations covered by the EU ETS to use CDM and JI for 22% of their emission reduction obligations. For the CDM/JI market, this means a potential demand of 90 million certificates per year from German companies alone.

The Deutsche Energie-Agentur (dena) - the German Energy Agency - and the Federal Ministry for the Environment, Nature Conservation and Nuclear Safety would like to support German companies in their efforts to use CDM and JI. The country profiles will provide them with useful information on identifying interesting projects which also correspond to their company profile.

Are all the necessary institutions and procedures in place for CDM/JI project implementation in the host country? Does the potential exist for emission reductions? Which sectors and types of project are especially interesting? Which countries already have strong CDM/JI bilateral agreements and which can be considered “unexplored” to date? The publication provides answers to all these questions. It lays out the general conditions required for CDM/JI implementation and discusses current project potential and options for future cooperation. It also provides an outlook on the potential of Green Investment Schemes in Eastern and Southern Europe.

The aim of the country profiles is to give German companies practical help in identifying interesting markets for their investments and in assessing the project potential and the underlying conditions for CDM and JI in the host countries.

I wish the companies and institutions involved all the best for a successful implementation of their CDM and JI projects.

Stephan Kohler  
Chief Executive  
Deutsche Energie-Agentur (dena)  
The German Energy Agency
Introduction

The project-based Kyoto mechanisms, JI and CDM, are instruments for companies to cost-effectively comply with their emission reduction obligations. The Allocation Act 2012 creates generous framework conditions for the use of these mechanisms. In total, German companies can use CDM and JI for 22% of their emission reduction obligations. This corresponds to 90 million emission reduction certificates per year between 2008 and 2012.

The publication “Country Profiles” aims at helping German companies to effectively make use of the potential of JI and CDM in Southern and Eastern Europe, the Caucasus and Central Asia. It provides them with useful information on the general framework conditions of CDM/ JI in the selected countries. On the basis of that information, companies can pre-screen among countries and identify interesting markets for their investments.

The information provided in the country profiles includes the institutional setting for CDM/JI as well as the emission reduction potentials according to sectors and project types. The country profiles also demonstrate the current situation of CDM/ JI implementation. They show the number of CDM/JI projects under development as well as potential needs for further capacity building regarding institutions and knowledge on the flexible mechanisms among potential project owners. Current international cooperation agreements between host countries and investor countries or organizations and existing Memoranda of Understanding are also listed in the country profiles. Finally, the publication gives a short outlook on the needs and options for further cooperation as well as an estimation on the potential for new CDM/JI projects in each country.

Information on the selected countries is based on presentations and discussions at the CDM/ JI Investors Forum organized by dena on behalf of the Federal Ministry for the Environment, Nature Conservation and Nuclear Safety. The forum took place in Leipzig on 14-16 October 2007.

The forum aimed at presenting potential JI and CDM projects in Southern and Eastern Europe, the Caucasus and Central Asia to interested investors, and providing a platform for a business-to-business dialogue between investors, project owners and developers. The forum focused on Southern and Eastern Europe, the Caucasus and Central Asia because the full potential of the Kyoto flexible mechanisms in these regions has not been explored so far, in particular the countries in the Caucasus and Central Asia. Bilateral meetings between investors, project owners and developers were also held to facilitate dialogue to foster better understanding of views and experiences of each other. New business opportunities were offered to the participating investors, project developers and technology suppliers.

Additionally the forum provided an opportunity for host country representatives to share experiences and views on project development, which resulted in additional capacity building. The forum also provided useful information and insight for the investors to strategically approach project selection.

The presentations and discussions focused on the important current issues of JI/CDM project identification and development as well as on possibilities for future implementation of Green Investment Schemes. In total, more than 50 CDM and JI projects were presented and about 70 representatives from Albania, Armenia, Azerbaijan, Belarus, Bosnia and Herzegovina, Bulgaria, the Czech Republic, Georgia, Kazakhstan, the Kyrgyz Republic, Lithuania, Macedonia, Moldova, Ukraine and Uzbekistan participated in the forum.
Generally, the institutional conditions for CDM and JI implementation are favorable in the majority of the selected countries. Only Bosnia and Herzegovina has not established the Designated National Focal Point yet. Kazakhstan has not ratified the Kyoto Protocol so far, and it is still unclear whether the country will become an Annex I Country or not. Belarus has recently signed the Kyoto Protocol and should soon be added to Annex B. However, this process needs the approval of three quarters of the Parties of the Kyoto Protocol.

Considering the fact that there are also countries with few overall GHG emissions, there is generally potential for CDM/ JI implementation in all countries, although it differs widely among sectors and project types. Vast emission reduction potential is identified in the Azerbaijan energy sector. Moreover, Belarus has special potential for landfill gas and waste management projects. In Armenia and the Former Yugoslavian Republic of Macedonia there are several potential hydro projects. Moldova, Georgia and the Kyrgyz Republic have methane capture projects from livestock industries that may be implemented as CDM projects. In Bulgaria and the Czech Republic the overall potential for JI projects is rather limited due to their participation in the European Emissions Trading Scheme.

Some countries have strong cooperation agreements such as Albania, Bulgaria, the Czech Republic and Ukraine. Other countries, especially the Central Asian ones, have received technical support and capacity building from the EU and UN but still have no or few bilateral agreements on CDM/JI.

The potential and political will to use Green Investment Schemes (GIS) is high among the Eastern and Southern European countries. GIS is generally welcomed as an instrument to contribute to the countries’ emission reduction efforts and to further support the transfer of technology.
1. Albania

1.1 General Overview


Albania ratified the Kyoto Protocol in December 2004. The DNA was established within the Climate Change Unit of the Ministry of Environment, Forests and Water Administration. National rules and procedures for JI are not yet in place.

1.2 Potential

In general, there is a large potential for GHG mitigation, especially in the Albanian hydro sector. Apart from the 11 hydropower plants (HPPs) identified by Italy (see below), there are more hydropower projects that could be realized as CDM in the future, although the projects identified by Italy are the most reasonable ones so far.

According to Albania’s Second National Communication to the UNFCCC, total GHG emissions in 2005 reached 8.5m t CO₂e/year. Without additional emission reduction measures the GHG level will reach 37m t CO₂e in 2020. Sectors with most emission reduction potential are the energy sector (400,000 t CO₂/year), renewable energies (1.35m t CO₂/year), waste sector (130,000 t CO₂/year) and LULUCF (620,000 t CO₂/year). In total, there is a potential of 2.5m t CO₂ emission reduction per year, with hydropower and forestry accounting for 75% of it.

1.3 Current International Cooperation

Currently there are several countries and international institutions engaged in CDM activities and capacity building in Albania. MoUs were signed with Denmark and Italy.

Key expected deliverables of cooperation with Italy are the development of a package of rules and procedures for CDM approval and DNA functioning, the development of standard baselines for energy and forestry sectors and a national strategy for positioning Albania in the carbon market.

Italy has already supported the development of a DNA report that includes an analysis of existing institutional and legal frameworks in the field of CDM. With Italian support a local unit was established in Tirana to facilitate the overall CDM exercise in Albania.

Italy also elaborated a portfolio of potential CDM projects (PIN status) in the field of energy efficiency and renewable energy. With Italian cooperation, 11 projects have been developed in different sectors (waste management (3), renewable energy (2), energy efficiency/fuel switch (5), afforestation (1)). The presentation of the CDM project portfolio took place in Milan, Italy in May 2007. The Albanian Ministry for the Environment issued a call for tender for the feasibility studies in September 2007. Italy aims at further broadening Albania’s capacity for the preparations of PINs and PDDs.
The Austrian Development Assistance is also involved in CDM capacity building in Albania. It focuses mostly on the same issues as the Italian cooperation. Austria has elaborated a report on CDM in the Albanian energy sector. An increased interest has been shown by Austrian private companies, especially for the development of the CDM projects in the area of construction and rehabilitation of small hydropower plants. Furthermore, under the framework of the UNDP/Austrian Government project “Capacity building to access carbon finance in Albania”, which officially started in June 2007, a pipeline is currently being developed. There is no information available about specific projects yet. The World Bank Carbon Fund has developed at least one project in Albania. The KfW has also carried out an initial assessment on a potential CDM project with the aim of carbon sequestration through natural regeneration of forests around the Prespa Lake. Apart from the CDM activities, the UNDP and KfW are also active in the promotion of energy efficiency in Albania.

1.4 Outlook

There is still a lack of national rules and procedures for CDM project approval in Albania. The technical and financial capacity to elaborate PINs and PDDs is low. There is only limited knowledge of the advantages of carbon finance to the economics of investment (IRR). Project owners lack national data for the estimation of the baseline emissions. Attempts to get involved in carbon finance have started relatively late compared to other countries, so that investors have shown little interest in the country in the past.

2. Armenia

2.1 General Overview

The Republic of Armenia signed the UN Framework Convention on Climate Change in June 1992 and ratified it in May 1993. According to the provisions in Art. 4.1 of the Convention the Republic of Armenia as a non-Annex I Party prepared its First National Communication on Climate Change and submitted it to COP IV. In December 2002, the National Assembly of Armenia ratified the Kyoto Protocol, which made Armenia the 108th Party to join the Protocol.

In 2003 the Ministry of Nature Protection of Armenia was appointed as the Designated National Authority for CDM under the Kyoto Protocol. National procedures for the approval of CDM projects are in place. The letter of endorsement will be issued 15 days after the submission of the PIN. The PDD must be revised or approved no later than 30 days after submission. The approval procedures include consultations with the Ministry of Trade and Economic Development and the Ministry of Labor and Social Security as well as with stakeholders.

2.2 Potential

Currently there are 12 CDM projects in Armenia, two of which are already registered as CDM projects. Six potential projects are small hydropower projects (SHPPs) with a total capacity of 42 MW and a required investment of 29.1m USD. Up to now a bundling of projects is not possible due to the fact that there is still little knowledge of bundling in Armenia. Project partners of SHPPs should pay special attention if the project complies with the additionality criterion due to the fact that Armenia has realized 45 SHPPs within the last 15 years without CDM financing. The general environment for SHPPs in the country is favorable because Armenian law allows SHPPs to sell up to 10MW without any restrictions.

At the CTI-Investors Forum Armenia presented 4 projects: 2 SHPPs, 1 biogas and 1 energy efficiency.
2.3 Current International Cooperation

Armenia has been given support with respect to its Global Climate Change Commitments within the framework of the EU TACIS program. The UNDP has provided capacity building for the development of GHG inventories and National Communication as well as for the Clean Development Mechanism. The UNDP/GEF currently support the improvement of energy efficiency of municipal heating and hot water supply (2005-2009).

A good cooperation in the field of CDM has been developed with Japan (Shimizu company) and Denmark, which appears to be a significant buyer of CERs through the Danish Carbon Fund. The MoU between Armenia and Denmark was signed in 2004 and entered into force on 17 March 2005. Japan and Denmark have invested in CDM capacity building in Armenia and developed bilateral project portfolios.

2.4 Outlook

The Climate for CDM investments is favourable in Armenia. Several potential projects have been identified, especially SHPPs. Developments in the reorganisation of the district heating sector are of special interest. If these efforts result in success they may be a good example for other countries in transition. If additionality is assured, Armenia will present a good host country for investors.

3. Azerbaijan

3.1 General Overview

The Azerbaijan DNA was established in April 2005. The internal procedures for the DNA as well as the sustainability criteria for CDM projects were adopted in February 2007.

3.2 Potential

Currently, the Azerbaijan CDM portfolio comprises 30 projects. Five of these projects already have an investor (Mitsubishi Corporation, EBRD, World Bank) and are at the validation stage.

In the energy sector landfill gas, renewable energies, energy efficiency in industry and forestry represent the sectors with the most emission reduction potential in Azerbaijan.

At the CTI-Investors Forum Azerbaijan presented 5 projects: 1 methane capture, 1 HPP, 1 fuel switch, 1 landfill gas, and 1 afforestation.

3.3 Current International Cooperation

There are several international organizations working on environmental policy and CDM in Azerbaijan.

The EU TACIS program gives technical assistance with respect to their Global Climate Change Commitments. The UNDP provides capacity building for the development of GHG inventories and the First and Second National Communication as well as for the Clean Development Mechanism.

The Norwegian Ministry of Foreign Affairs (ECON, Norsk Energy) supports CDM in Azerbaijan as an instrument for industrial development and poverty alleviation in the Caucasus.
Furthermore, Azerbaijan participated in the Caspian Basin Greenhouse Gas Emission Reduction Training Program (CTP) funded by the Canadian Climate Change Development Fund and administered by the Canadian International Development Agency. The program’s duration was three years. It ended in 2005. The goal of the CTP was the strengthening of the participating countries’ capacities to reduce future growth in GHG emissions and to create an environment more conducive for future private sector investment in the energy sector, especially through the participation in the flexible mechanisms. Within the CTP, four demonstration projects have been developed in Azerbaijan, all of which expect emission reductions to less than 400 t CO$_2$e/year.

Up to now Azerbaijan has signed MoUs only with Germany and Denmark. Denmark has identified some potential CDM projects with Azerbaijan as it is in general very active in making use of the flexible mechanisms.

3.4 Outlook

The Azerbaijani government is interested in developing the country’s energy efficiency and renewable energy potential. For tapping this potential in the framework of CDM, Azerbaijan needs technical guidance in the preparation of new baseline and monitoring methodologies and in the testing of addi- tionality. The Cabinet of Ministers also needs support in the management of CERs, the improvement of environmental law concerning CDM activities, and the reorganization of the State Committee on Climate Change.

Having these challenges in mind, Azerbaijan needs capacity building as a first step. In the mid and long term, however, investment in CDM projects seems to be attractive.

4. Belarus

4.1 General Overview

Belarus signed the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change in June 1992 and ratified the Kyoto Protocol by accession in August 2005. In 2006 the COP/MOP 2 adopted in its Decision 10/CMP.2 an amendment to Annex B to the Kyoto Protocol, adding Belarus to it. According to the Kyoto Protocol, it will enter into force for Belarus as soon as at least three quarters of the Parties to this Protocol accept the amendment. The Czech Republic already ratified the amendment, the Group 77 made a corporative decision on the ratification, too.

Belarus meets five of the six eligibility criteria for the participation in JI activities. It has established a DFP within the Ministry of Environmental Protection and created a national GHG inventory system and a national registry for carbon credits. But the quantified emission limitation must still be set up and registered. While Belarus claims its JI procedure is simple, for foreigners it seems quite complex with several governmental bodies involved.

Within the framework of JI, Belarus plans to develop large scale projects and bundled projects. Track II procedures should be operational soon with Track I remaining available as well.

4.2 Potential

Belarus strongly depends on energy imports from Russia. 92% – 96% of its energy production is based on natural gas. 89% of this gas must be imported from Russia. Energy imports from other neighbouring countries are limited due to weak links between the energy systems.
Because of the country’s import dependency Belarus aims to further develop its own energy resources. In accordance with that orientation, a law was adopted that regulates the decrease of energy imports.

Although there is one JI project idea on a HPP, in general there is little potential for large hydropower in the country, according to a recent report made in the energy sector. Currently there is a 10 MW capacity of hydropower installed. With regard to its JI potential, the development of HPPs in Belarus is critical. Most potential for JI projects is rather seen in combined heat and power plants (CHPs), the modernisation of electricity generation, fuel switch, energy efficiency in industry and the building sector, and renewable energy (biomass and wind).

At the CTI-Investors Forum Belarus presented 19 projects: 1 N20, 3 waste management, 1 HPP, 5 landfill gas, 2 biogas, and 7 energy efficiency.

4.3 Current International Cooperation

The UNDP/GEF and the World Bank are currently supporting Belarus with capacity building. The Central European Initiative (CEI) as well as the UNECE support the country in the identification of energy efficiency and renewable energy potential. The Belarusian government supports JI initiatives and is keen to develop respective projects.

Regarding Green Investment Schemes (GIS) Belarus is in an advantageous position. For 2008 – 2012 it has an assigned amount of 586 m t CO₂e and a surplus of 173 m t CO₂e for use in GIS.

4.4 Outlook

The response of the Belarus enterprises to dena’s call for JI projects was significant. This was not surprising considering the dependency on Russian energy imports and the fact that the country’s energy system is outdated, inefficient, and emission intensive.

Nevertheless, there are some challenges regarding JI implementation in Belarus. According to a Belarusian presentation at the CTI-Investors Forum, there is still little experience with project development and there are many immature project proposals as well as a lack of well grounded additionality explanation. Today, direct investors still have little interest in the country because of the obstacles for foreign direct investment, especially in state-owned industries and the high country risk due to the “golden share” principle. However, the development of JI projects in order to purchase ERUs could be of interest in the future. At present many projects are developed under the VER scheme.

5. Bosnia and Herzegovina

5.1 General Overview

Bosnia and Herzegovina has been a non-Annex I Party to the UNFCCC since December 2000 and ratified the Kyoto Protocol in July 2007. The country has fully committed to meeting the requirements of Art. 4 and Art. 12 of the Convention.

The National Focal Point within the Ministry of Physical Planning, Civil Engineering and Ecology has made significant efforts to prepare the Initial National Communication. It should also work as Designated National Authority. The CDM Board, however, still needs to be created.
5.2 Potential

Bosnia and Herzegovina’s energy sector is characterized by hydropower and coal with a total installed capacity of 1900 MW. The country is currently developing an energy strategy that focuses on HPPs, especially on SHPPs. Bosnia and Herzegovina has made some legal concessions with respect to HPPs in order to support the development of this type of project. However, the identified CDM projects are still at a very early stage.

At the CTI-Investors Forum Bosnia and Herzegovina presented 2 small-scale hydropower projects.

5.3 Current International Cooperation

In 2003 Austria prepared an MoU with Bosnia and Herzegovina and is engaged in technical assistance for environmental projects.

The World Bank is currently supporting the elaboration of the “Energy Study in BIH” that should make recommendations for reforming and strengthening the energy sector and assist Bosnia and Herzegovina with the establishment of a national energy strategy.

5.4 Outlook

So far, not many projects have been developed. However, interest in international cooperation and further project development was expressed at the CTI-Investors Forum. Capacity building among potential project owners is necessary to further develop Bosnia and Herzegovina’s project potential, and the establishment of the DNA will be the crucial prerequisite for CDM activity.

6. Bulgaria

6.1 General Overview

Bulgaria ratified the UNFCCC in 1995 and the Kyoto Protocol in 2002. The DFP is established within the Ministry of Environment and Water. The Bulgarian JI guidelines and procedures are available.

6.2 Potential

According to the many experts and banks Bulgaria is one of the most attractive Eastern European countries for JI projects. This is shown by several ratings of international institutions as well as by the number of already developed and approved JI projects.

Bulgaria has opened its energy sector for private investment. State-owned and municipal energy and heat suppliers have been privatized. The energy market was liberalized so that the abolishment of subsidies led to higher energy prices for households and industries. Against this background the Bulgarian industry is looking for ways to reduce energy costs while securing energy supply in the future. JI seems to be one useful instrument in this context.

Fuel switch, CHP, energy efficiency in industry and renewable energy projects are among the project types that have most potential for emission reductions in Bulgaria. However, wind projects are confronted with a non-encouraging feed-in tariff system in Bulgaria (up to 2,250 hrs/year the tariff is 175 BGN/MWh, above 2,250 hrs/year only 156 BGN/MWh will be paid).
However, with the accession to the European Union and the European Emission Trading Scheme in 2007 Bulgaria will trade off between JI and exclusively domestic emission reduction efforts.

There are also opportunities for implementation of GIS because of the currently existing attractive volume of GHG emissions that remains below the Kyoto commitments.

At the CTI-Investors Forum Bulgaria presented 1 wind project.

### 6.3 Current International Cooperation

Bulgaria has signed MoUs with the Netherlands, Austria, Switzerland, Denmark, Japan, Sweden and Finland as well as with the Prototype Carbon Fund of the World Bank. The German-Bulgarian MoU is in preparation.

The interest of the contacted Bulgarian enterprises to participate in the CTI-Investors Forum was low. It seems that the development of projects is mainly a result of bilateral initiatives.

### 6.4 Outlook

The general investment climate for JI projects is favourable in Bulgaria. But due to the country’s participation in EU ETS, JI potential seems to be somewhat limited.

### 7. Czech Republic

#### 7.1 General Overview

The Designated Focal Point is established within the Ministry of the Environment. National procedures for JI approval are in place.

According to the approval process for JI projects the Ministry of the Environment registers the project on the basis of a PIN by the issuance of the LoE. To obtain the Letter of Approval (LoA) from the Ministry, the project will be registered by the State Environmental Fund (SEF) and approved by an expert panel of the MoE.

According to the presentation made by the Czech Energy Agency at the CTI-Investors Forum the basic prerequisites for Track I eligibility are fulfilled. The national registry system is in place and GHG inventories are elaborated regularly.

#### 7.2 Potential

The Czech Republic already participated in the AIJ pilot phase. During this time 5 projects were realized.

Recently about 98 JI projects have been developed, 33 of them already received LoAs. Total project emission reduction equals 1m t CO₂e/year. Two portfolios of projects were set up, one by the Prototype Carbon Fund and one by the BTG. The agreement between the Czech Energy Agency and the IBRD as trustee of the PCF was signed on 15 October 2003. The Czech Energy Agency committed to transfer 500,000 t CO₂e to the PCF within the period 2002-2012. The PCF portfolio comprises 16 SHPPs, 1 fuel switch and 1 central heating project with a total amount of 100,000 t CO₂e/year of emission reductions.
The BTG portfolio has 13 biomass and central heating projects that present a total emission reduction of 120,000 t CO$_2$e/year.

### 7.3 Current International Cooperation

There are MoUs signed with Denmark and Austria. Further MoUs are being prepared with Japan, the Netherlands, Finland, Portugal, Spain, Slovenia and other countries.

The interest of the contacted Czech enterprises to participate in the CTI-Investors Forum was low.

### 7.4 Outlook

According to the representative of the Czech Energy Agency in the Forum the Czech Republic today faces some problems with identifying new potential for JI projects. New JI projects could only be developed in the field of heating (biomass, biogas) and non-CO$_2$ projects (landfill gas). An additional problem is the limitation of CERs and ERUS within the EU ETS as well as the Czech reserve of about 100,000 allowances per year in NAP 2 for the indirect linking.

Regarding Green Investment Schemes the Czech Republic is in a relatively advantageous position due to a surplus of about 30m AAUs/year.

### 8. Georgia

#### 8.1 General Overview

Georgia ratified the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change in October 1994 and acceded to the Kyoto Protocol in June 1999. By the Decree of the Government of Georgia of 20 January 2005 the Ministry of Environment Protection and Natural Resources of Georgia was appointed as DNA. Within the Ministry the Hydrometeorology and Climate Change Administration is responsible for the functioning of the DNA.

By the Decree of the Government of Georgia of September 2005 the CDM National Council of Georgia was formed. The main task of the CDM National Council is to determine whether the proposed projects comply with the sustainable development criteria of Georgia and to authorize the DNA to issue the letter of approval on behalf of the Government of Georgia. The CDM National Council comprises several ministers as well as other representatives of key ministries and NGOs. However, the sustainability criteria for Georgia must still be formulated.

In addition, the establishment of a new auxiliary structure is planned in order to search for and prepare CDM projects and to participate in the process of project evaluation.

#### 8.2 Potential

At the moment there is one Georgian project registered at the CDM Executive Board. The project partner is a Japanese company. Three more projects are under development. Partners of the projects are the Danish Environment Protection Agency, the World Bank Carbon Fund and the World Bank Community Development Bank. There are more projects under consideration in the field of cement production, energy efficiency in industry, water and waste management and SHPPs. In the case of hydropower, there are several potential bundled projects, e.g. 9 SHPPs with a total of 8 MW.
Considering the fact that only HPPs smaller than 10 MW can sell the produced electricity without any restrictions, especially small hydropower projects are reasonable. There are other HPP projects in Georgia with 700 MW, 400 MW and 43 MW, but they will not be developed as CDM.

At the CTI-Investors Forum Georgia presented 6 projects: 1 SHPP, 1 wind, 1 waste management, 1 biogas, 2 energy efficiency.

8.3 Current International Cooperation

Georgia is getting support from the EU through the TACIS program with respect to its Global Climate Change Commitments.

On 12 November 2004 an MoU between Georgia and Denmark was signed. There is also an MoU with Norway. Currently the initiative “CDM as instrument for industrial development and poverty alleviation in Caucasus” is being implemented by the Georgian Energy Efficiency Centre in partnership with Norsk Energi, ECON (Norway) and with financial support from the Norwegian Ministry of Foreign Affairs. The project envisages capacity building, the development of PINs and PDDs and the implementation of projects. ECON has already prepared feasibility studies and economic and ecological assessments. As a result, 35 hydropower projects were identified, 7 of them were labeled as especially attractive for CDM. The report will be available online soon. There will be an investor forum with Norway in the near future.

8.4 Outlook

One obstacle to making use of Georgian JI potential is the lack of national sustainability criteria. In general, however, the country is very attractive for CDM investment due to its identified project potential.

Georgian representatives at the CTI Forum expressed their interest for German companies to invest in Georgian CDM projects. Fichtner, a German project developer, underlines its positive experience regarding cooperation with the Georgian government.

The Ministry of Economy is responsible for facilitating further investment projects in energy efficiency and renewable energies. The Georgian Investment Council could be approached to identify and develop additional projects.

9. Kazakhstan

9.1 General Overview

Kazakhstan has still not ratified the Kyoto Protocol although this is expected to be realised by the end of 2007 or early 2008. The huge potential for CDM in the country therefore remains underdeveloped so far. Kazakhstan wishes to participate in the Kyoto Protocol and post-Kyoto regime as an Annex 1 Country. However, it is still not clear whether the country will become an Annex 1 Country or not.

A Climate Change Coordination Centre (C4) has been established as CDM/JI office. In July 2007 it signed an MoU with the Ministry of Environment of Kazakhstan which allows C4 to register potential CDM/JI projects of Kazakh project owners. After ratification of the Kyoto Protocol these projects will be transferred to the nominated official governmental authority for registration. Up to now, Kazakhstan has very little environmental legislation.
9.2 Potential

Coal is the most important energy source in Kazakhstan followed by natural gas and oil. The southern part of the country is characterized by a lack of power supply.

It is expected that electricity prices for end users will triple or quadruple from 0.03/0.04 USD up to 0.12 USD during the next years. By increasing the prices the Kazakh government wants to support energy savings. At the same time there are also a lot of financial resources needed because 60% of the power plants in Kazakhstan are old and must be replaced. The revenues from electricity sale should be spent on the decentralization of the power system and the implementation of renewable energy projects.

Currently there are 5 projects considered as CDM/JI projects. 3 projects are SHPPs (1.8 MW, 1.75 MW, 1.5 MW), although total Kazakh capacity for hydropower is estimated as rather low. Furthermore, there is 1 CHP and 1 afforestation project considered for CDM/JI.

30 more projects in the energy and industry sector are in preparation with minimal costs per project expected to be US$ 100m. Financing for these projects is secured and the projects will be implemented regardless of the ratification of the Kyoto Protocol.

At the CTI-Investors Forum Kazakhstan presented 2 projects: 1 energy efficiency and 1 SHPP.

9.3 Current International Cooperation

The UNDP/GEF provides support within the project “Assistance to the Republic of Kazakhstan in preparing Second National Communication to the UNFCCC”.

Kazakhstan also participated in the Caspian Basin Greenhouse Gas Emission Reduction Training Program (CTP) funded by the Canadian Climate Change Development Fund and administered by the Canadian International Development Agency (see chapter 3.3).

The EU is also engaged in capacity building in the country. Implementation of the TACIS project “Technical Assistance to Kazakhstan, Kyrgyzstan, Tajikistan and Uzbekistan with respect to their Global Climate Change Commitments” has been underway since 2005.

By the decision of the Fourth Pan-European Conference in 1998 the Central Asia Regional Economic Cooperation (CAREC) was established in Almaty, Kazakhstan. CAREC’s goal is to improve living standards and to reduce poverty in CAREC countries through more efficient and effective regional economic cooperation. To date, the program has focused on financing infrastructure projects and improving the region's policy environment. CAREC supports the interregional cooperation between Kazakhstan, Kyrgyz Republic, Uzbekistan and Tajikistan in the field of climate change and energy efficiency.

An MoU between Kazakhstan and Japan was signed in 2004. One project was implemented as VER in co-operation with a Japanese party.

9.4 Outlook

At the moment investment in Kazakhstan is difficult due to the pending ratification of the Kyoto Protocol. Once it has ratified the Kyoto Protocol, the country could become an interesting host for JI/CDM projects. Currently the uncertain situation for Kazakh project owners regarding CDM/JI leads to a dynamic development of projects under the VER schemes.
10. Kyrgyz Republic

10.1 General Overview

The Kyrgyz Republic ratified the UNFCCC on 25 May 2000 and the Kyoto Protocol on 13 May 2003. In 2006 the State Agency on Environmental Protection and Forestry of Kyrgyzstan was appointed as DNA.

10.2 Potential

Kyrgyzstan has a strong commitment to developing CDM projects. At the moment there is one Kyrgyz landfill gas project in the CDM pipeline and about 8 small and medium projects with a total emission reduction of 268,000 t CO₂e/year that are considered to be developed as CDM. All those projects are conceptually completed and have either private or public owners. Important project types for the country are hydropower stations, both modernization and green field, as well as biogas projects and energy efficiency in gas distribution systems.

At the CTI-Investors Forum, Kyrgyzstan presented 2 projects: 1 biogas and 1 fuel switch.

10.3 Current International Cooperation

There are several international organizations involved in capacity building in Kyrgyzstan. Within the TACIS program the EU started its project “Technical Assistance to Kazakhstan, Kyrgyzstan, Tajikistan and Uzbekistan with respect to their Global Climate Change Commitments” in 2005.

UNDP projects also assisted in developing national procedures for CDM and in establishing the National Climate Change Committee (NCCC) that was finally set up in July 2005. The UNDP/GEF assisted the Kyrgyz Government and Parliament in the preparation of the law on “State regulation and policy in the field of GHG emission reduction”, which facilitates the implementation of project activities under the CDM.

Moreover, the Kyrgyz Republic also receives support and funding on technical and environmental issues through the Central Asia Regional Economic Cooperation (CAREC) (see Chapter 9.3).

10.4 Outlook

Generally the Kyrgyz Republic has good capacities to develop CDM projects, and private consulting companies in the country are very active. However, the overall project potential is rather small due to a population of about 5m and a GDP of US$ 10bn.

11. Lithuania

11.1 General Overview

Lithuania ratified the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change in 1995 and the Kyoto Protocol in 2003. It has already set up the national procedures for JI. There are several governmental bodies and organizations involved in JI activities. The Ministry of Environment organizes and coordinates the execution of JI, the Ministry of Economy coordinates JI projects in the energy sector and the Lithuanian Environmental Investment Fund (LEIF) is responsible for the registration of PINs.
the GHG registry and the issuance of ERUS. A PIN is submitted to the Ministry of Environment and LEIF and then goes to the Supervisory Committee. Finally the Ministry of Environment issues the LoE.

11.2 Potential

Most potential for JI is identified in renewable energy (not energy sector), landfill gas and animal waste projects. At the moment, Lithuania hosts 11 JI projects: 6 wind power, 2 N₂O reduction, 1 biofuel, 1 flare gas reduction, and 1 landfill gas project. In total, these projects will generate emission reductions of about 11 m t CO₂e in 2008-2012.

11.3 Current International Cooperation

Current JI projects are being developed with NEFCO, Denmark and one project (N₂O) with BASF/Germany.

11.4 Outlook

The development of new JI projects in Lithuania will be problematic due to the country’s participation in the EU ETS.

Regarding Green Investment Schemes there are good possibilities for implementation, according to a representative of LEIF. In this context, however, the calculation of AA proportion for GIS, the identification of institutions to administrate GIS funds and the formulation of a financing scheme and the appropriateness criterion for GIS projects will be challenging.

12. The Former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia

12.1 General Overview

In 1998 the Former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia became a party to the UNFCCC. In 2004 the F.Y.R. Macedonia ratified the Kyoto Protocol and became a party in 2005. In 2007 the Macedonian Government adopted the National Strategy for the Clean Development Mechanism.

With the changes to the Law on Environment in March 2007 and in accordance with the Governmental decision of 1 June 2006 the Designated National Authority has been established. The F.Y.R. Macedonia’s DNA is now located within the Ministry of Environment and Physical Planning.

The CDM procedures in the F.Y.R. Macedonia are in place. They specify that the letter of endorsement must be issued within 15 days after the submission of the PIN. The PDD must be revised or approved no later than 30 days after submission.

12.2 Potential

The F.Y.R. Macedonia has one of the highest levels of GHG emissions per unit of GDP in Central and Eastern Europe. The energy sector contributes about 70% to the total emissions. It is dominated by thermal power plants based on coal and lignite.

The high carbon intensity makes the F.Y.R. Macedonia attractive for CDM. CDM projects are especially reasonable in the field of energy efficiency and renewable energy.
Energy saving measures present the greatest emission reduction potential with 62% of the total potential. Renewable energies account for 15%, the waste sector for 7% and LULUCF for 16% of total emission reduction potential.

At the moment the F.Y.R. Macedonia has 15 projects considered for CDM: 8 in the waste sector, 3 renewable energy projects, 3 energy efficiency, and 1 afforestation project. All projects have been developed with Italy on a bilateral basis.

At the CTI-Investors Forum the F.Y.R. Macedonia presented 3 projects: 1 HPP, 1 SHPP, and 1 landfill gas.

**12.3 Current International Cooperation**

The F.Y.R. Macedonia has intensive bilateral cooperation with Italy. The country signed an MoU with the Ministry for Environment, Land and Sea of the Republic of Italy in December 2005 to enhance the bilateral cooperation in the field of environment and sustainable development. In accordance with the MoU the Joint Office in Skopje was opened in January 2006. It was designed to support the creation of the DNA and to build capacity on the local level for the identification and selection of CDM projects and the creation of a CDM portfolio. In December 2006 Annex I to the MoU was signed that expands the cooperation on the preparation of feasibility studies and PDDs for potential CDM projects in the F.Y.R. Macedonia.

On 9 March 2007 the Ministry of Environment and Physical Planning signed contracts with local project owners. They agreed on the presentation of potential CDM projects by the Ministry and authorized it to find Italian or Italo-Macedonian companies that will develop feasibility studies and/or PDDs for the projects. On 3 June 2007 a workshop for capacity building was held in Skopje to inform local project owners about the CDM and the fundamentals of project development.

**12.4 Outlook**

The general environment for CDM investment in the F.Y.R. Macedonia is favourable. As Italy is very engaged in project development in the country it has to be checked, however, if further projects can be identified.

**13. Republic of Moldova**

**13.1 General Overview**

The Republic of Moldova ratified the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change in 1995 and the Kyoto Protocol in 2003. The Moldovian DNA is established within the State Hydrometeorological Service of the Ministry of Ecology and Natural Resources.

**13.2 Potential**

CDM potential in Moldova has been identified, especially in the renewable energy sector. 3 CDM projects were registered in 2006. They were all developed in cooperation with the International Bank for Reconstruction and Development as the trustee of the Community Development Carbon Fund (CDCF) and the Netherlands.

At the CTI-Investors Forum Moldova presented 2 projects: 1 HPP and 1 biogas.
13.3 Current International Cooperation

The EU supported the development of CDM projects in the Republic of Moldova in the framework of a TACIS program. Within that program, some potential CDM projects were developed in cooperation with the State Hydrometeorological Service. One of these projects, a project on biogas from poultry farms, was presented at the CTI-Investors Forum.

The Republic of Moldova has signed an MoU with Denmark so far.

13.4 Outlook

CDM project potential in Moldova is limited. Further projects could be developed in the bioenergy field. The general investment climate seems to be favourable. Investors at the CTI-Investors Forum appeared to be interested in the country’s projects.

14. Ukraine

14.1 General Overview

On 4 April 2007 the Cabinet of Ministers of Ukraine approved the Resolution No. 612 on establishing the National Environmental Investment Agency of Ukraine (NEIA). It is designed as the central executive body guided and coordinated by the Minister of Environmental Protection under the governance of the Cabinet of Ministers. The main objective of the NEIA is to raise the level of environmental efficiency of the Ukrainian economy by creating market conditions. The NEIA should realize this by developing national programs for environmental investments, voluntary obligations and an internal emission trading system.

In order to obtain the Letter of Approval from the Ukrainian government the project owner should develop the project according to the specific requirements established by the Ministry of Environment, comprising the full package of documents including the PDD. The project owner should submit the developed project with the Determination Report of the OE to the Ministry of Environmental Protection. The Ministry of Environmental Protection, if necessary, arranges an expert evaluation of the project in order to evaluate conformity of the project according to the established requirements by the Ministry of Environmental Protection. The Ministry of Environmental Protection evaluates the project and its accompanying documents submitted by the project owner within a month and, in case of their positive determination, issues a Letter of Approval (LoA). In case of refusal to issue the LoA the Ministry informs the project owner within a month in written form with specification of a reason.

Regarding the general investment climate Ukraine has adopted new legislation, regulations and procedures since 2002 aimed at improving the investment climate in its economy in general and the energy sector in particular.

The efforts of the Government regarding improvement of the business environment and the investment climate in the country are beginning to bear fruit. Despite the political risks investment grew in the first quarter of 2006 by almost 16%, 4.5 times more than during the corresponding period of 2005, and foreign direct investment was at its highest level since 1991.

14.2 Potential

Ukraine has a huge potential for reducing GHG, in particular by improving energy efficiency and by utilizing renewable energies.
Ukraine’s key energy policy tasks and priorities are defined in the Energy Strategy for the Period until 2030 as adopted by the Cabinet of Ministers in March 2006. The Strategy proceeds from the understanding that Ukraine has limited conventional energy resources and thus has to rely on imports, and that it also suffers from a lack of diversification of energy imports. For these reasons, the Strategy highlights the importance of rational use of energy, the promotion of domestic energy production, and switching to alternative energy sources. Therefore, the development of JI projects in order to develop this potential is generally very much welcome by the Ukrainian government.

The Energy Strategy of Ukraine foresees the mass construction of nuclear power plants which in turn are not eligible for the JI mechanism. Very little attention is paid to alternative and renewable energy sources and biomass projects in the Strategy. Mass reconstruction of out-dated thermal power plants and combined heat and power plants is also considered by the program. However, the Strategy does not foresee any financing and approval of programs for specific projects. Thus, a conclusion can be drawn that the Energy Strategy is currently only at planning status without substance and will not limit the opportunities of JI projects realisation in Ukraine.

For several potential JI-project types there are other programs and laws adopted, which could influence the additionality issue of JI projects. However, in practice, the programs did not provide financing for concrete projects so far.

At the CTI-Investors Forum Ukraine presented 3 projects: 2 energy efficiency and 1 fuel switch.

### 14.3 Current International Cooperation

At the moment Ukraine’s JI project portfolio consists of 74 projects, of which 11 received the LoA and 63 an LoE so far. Total investment costs would be 3.4 billion EUR. The projects were identified in cooperation with foreign Carbon Funds and governments, e.g. Austria and Japan. A German-Ukrainian JI-Portfolio had been developed with dena as well.

### 14.4 Outlook

Ukraine especially needs modern technology, highly professional operators and technical assistance for the elaboration of standards and the creation of an internal market. Additional foreign investment is needed to develop JI projects and to identify further project potentials.

Ukraine has a huge project potential. Nevertheless, the framework for JI projects in the country remains awkward as the general investment climate is still difficult. Ukrainian authorities regularly declare a keenness to encourage foreign investment, and the broader public is well disposed to foreign investment. There are few restrictions on foreign ownership. However, both domestic and foreign investors still encounter difficulties at a practical level. These do not relate specifically to the issue of foreign ownership or investment, but rather to administrative hurdles that are arbitrarily enforced, or random delays.

The experts of the Ministry of Environmental Protection of Ukraine have expressed interest in switching to Track I by 2008. However, it will depend on how soon the national registry for GHG emissions estimation is established. The first attempt to develop the registry failed. The new one is not working yet.
15. Uzbekistan

15.1 General Overview

Uzbekistan ratified the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change in 1993 and the Kyoto Protocol in 1999. It has a DNA in place, which is established within the Ministry of Economy.

Currently a capacity building unit is working with the Ministry of Economy of Uzbekistan. In August 2007 the UNDP published “The Outlook for Development of Renewable Energy in Uzbekistan”. The report aims to raise awareness of the potential of the renewable energy options for Uzbekistan among decision makers, academic circles, and the general public.

15.2 Potential

The Government of Uzbekistan in cooperation and with support of the UNDP and the World Bank recently organized a National CDM Forum that took place in Tashkent on 29-30 October 2007. The Forum brought together representatives of CDM stakeholders from Uzbekistan, Central Asia and other non-Annex I and Annex I Countries and provided a platform for exchange of experience, ideas and negotiations over potential CDM projects from Uzbekistan and other Central Asian countries.

Uzbekistan has prepared more than 50 potential project ideas covering a range of sectors and technologies including energy efficiency, renewable energy and cogeneration that were presented at the National CDM Forum in October 2007 (see below).

At the CTI-Investors Forum Uzbekistan presented 2 energy efficiency projects.

15.3 Current International Cooperation

Uzbekistan, like Azerbaijan and Kazakhstan, participated in the Caspian Basin Greenhouse Gas Emission Reduction Training Program (CTP) funded by the Canadian Climate Change Development Fund and administered by the Canadian International Development Agency (see Azerbaijan).

Uzbekistan also receives support and funding from the Central Asia Regional Economic Cooperation (CAREC) (see Chapter 9.3).

15.4 Outlook

Uzbekistan can be an interesting option for CDM investment in the future due to a relatively high project potential. However, clarification is needed as to whether the country needs further capacity building concerning the development of the identified projects.

The Uzbek government is generally interested in developing long-term relationships with interested investors rather than selling CERs only, according to an Uzbek representative at the CTI-Investors Forum.